

Analysis: New Point-of-Care Blood Glucose Monitoring System for the Hospital Demonstrates Satisfactory Analytical Accuracy Using Blood from Critically Ill Patients—An Important Step toward Improved Blood Glucose Control in the Hospital

Jeffrey I Joseph, D.O.

Abstract

Patients managed in the intensive care units (ICUs) and general wards of the hospital experience a high incidence of hyperglycemia, hypoglycemia, and glycemic variability, despite significant hospital resources devoted to glucose control. Optimized glucose meters and monitoring systems are required to improve the safety and efficacy of insulin delivery and glucose control in the hospital. Safe insulin dosing requires timely and accurate glucose measurements, especially during dynamic changes in nutrition, insulin sensitivity, and physiological stress. In the current issue of *Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology*, Mitsios and coauthors describe the analytical accuracy of the new Accu-Check® Inform II blood glucose (BG) monitoring system commercialized by F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. The point-of-care glucose meter achieved the desired degree of accuracy and precision, as defined by Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute POCT12-A3 guidelines when evaluated using venous blood from 600 critically ill patients from multiple ICUs at two medical centers. Venous whole blood samples were used to obtain glucose meter results in duplicate. The remaining blood sample was centrifuged to obtain plasma for central hospital laboratory testing using the hexokinase method within 5 min of meter testing. A total of 98.8% of the 1200 Accu-Check Inform II meter's glucose values were within $\pm 12.5\%$ (± 12 mg/dl) of the mean laboratory glucose value, and 99.8% were within $\pm 20\%$ (± 20 mg/dl), thus meeting the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute criteria. Future studies are required to evaluate the clinical performance of the new BG monitoring system in the intended-use patient populations and critical care environments, using arterial, peripheral venous, central venous, and capillary blood samples.

J Diabetes Sci Technol 2013;7(5):1288–1293

Introduction

Medical and surgical patients experience a high incidence of hyperglycemia, hypoglycemia, and glycemic variability despite significant hospital resources devoted to glucose control.^{1–12} Clinicians are challenged to dose insulin at the optimal time in relation to changes in nutrient intake, insulin sensitivity, hydration status, and organ function (renal, hepatic, gastrointestinal, and cardiovascular).^{13–17} Accurate blood glucose (BG) measurements are necessary for safe and effective insulin therapy and calibration of near-continuous glucose monitoring systems for the hospital.^{18–28}

Author Affiliation: Department of Anesthesiology, Jefferson Medical College, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Abbreviations: (BG) blood glucose, (ICU) intensive care unit, (POC) point of care

Keywords: analytical accuracy, blood glucose monitoring system, glucose control, glucose meter, hospital, total measurement error

Corresponding Author: Jeffrey I Joseph, D.O., Department of Anesthesiology, Jefferson Medical College, Thomas Jefferson University, 1020 Locust St., JAH, Suite 565, Philadelphia, PA 19107; email address Jeffrey.Joseph@jefferson.edu

The large RALS-Plus databases from Medical Automation Systems can be used to summarize the metrics of BG in hospitalized patients with diabetes and/or stress hyperglycemia.^{29–31} Swanson and coauthors³² analyzed data from 575 U.S. hospitals that used the Roche Accu-Chek® Inform meter/test strips and the RALS-Plus data management system to measure, record, and analyze 49,191,313 point-of-care (POC) BG measurements from 3,484,795 patients over a 12-month period. Only 24% of the POC BG measurements were obtained from ICU patients, while 76% were obtained outside of the ICU. The mean POC BG was 167 mg/dl for ICU patients and 166 mg/dl for non-ICU patients. The prevalence of hyperglycemia (>180 mg/dl) was 32.2% in ICU patients and 32.0% in non-ICU patients. The prevalence of hypoglycemia (<70 mg/dl) was 6.3% in ICU patients and 5.7% in non-ICU patients.³²

Hyperglycemia in the hospital has been associated with an increased risk of fluid/electrolyte shifts, glycosuria, dehydration, wound infection, bacteremia, sepsis, myocardial/cerebral ischemia, congestive heart failure, deep vein thrombosis, and pulmonary embolism.^{15–17,33–38} Glucose toxicity reduces the motility and phagocytic/cytotoxic functions of neutrophils, monocyte, and macrophages. Hyperglycemia causes increased platelet activation, adhesion, and plug formation.^{39–43} Prolonged glycosuria can cause polyuria, decreased plasma volume, and intravascular dehydration. Insulin therapy causes glucose/water molecules to move out of the plasma and into the cells of skeletal muscle and adipose tissue. The treatment for hyperglycemia thus requires appropriate fluid management in addition to insulin therapy.^{15–19}

Insulin-induced hypoglycemia may increase morbidity/mortality in hospitalized medical and surgical patients.^{5,10,12,44–46} Clinicians evaluate each patient to weigh the potential clinical risks and benefits of intensive insulin therapy and tight glycemic control. Clinical guidelines recommend a 140 to 180 mg/dl target range to minimize hyperglycemia (>180 mg/dl) and avoid hypoglycemia (<70 mg/dl).^{47–50} The fear of hypoglycemia determines the intensity of glucose monitoring and insulin therapy when patients are managed in the operating rooms, ICUs, and general wards of the hospital.^{3,12,18}

Hypoglycemia may directly increase the morbidity/mortality of hospitalized patients or be a marker of illness severity in patients with malnutrition, metastatic cancer, bacteremia, sepsis, liver failure, acute kidney injury, and multiorgan failure.^{5,10–12} The majority of hospitalized patients who experience hypoglycemia recover fully without an adverse event.^{51,52} An increase in tissue blood flow may increase glucose delivery enough to meet the metabolic needs of the cells (mg/100 g tissue/min). The risk for an adverse event due to hypoglycemia may be the highest in patients who experience simultaneous hypoglycemia and decreased tissue blood flow. Prospective trials are required to determine whether avoidance of hypoglycemia in acutely ill patients will lead to an improvement in clinical outcome.

Safe and effective insulin therapy in the hospital therefore requires frequent, accurate, and timely BG measurements.^{17–19,21,51–53} The hospital's central laboratory analyzer uses the hexokinase method to measure the concentration of centrifuged plasma glucose with high accuracy and precision but with a slow turnaround time. The 2011 recommendations for the desirable performance characteristics of a central laboratory glucose measurement include a coefficient of variation of <2.9%, an analytical bias or inaccuracy of <2.2%, and a total error of <6.0%.⁵⁴ The 2012 updated guidelines for central laboratory plasma glucose measurement is a coefficient of variation of <2.3% and an inaccuracy or bias <1.8%.⁵⁵ The total error is usually calculated as (absolute bias or inaccuracy) + (1.96 × standard deviation).⁵⁶

The time delay from sample acquisition to providing the bedside clinician with an actionable BG measurement is an important source of total measurement error. The actual concentration of BG can significantly change when the measurement delay and turnaround time for reporting the result exceeds 15 min. Changing insulin therapy based on a patient's previous clinical state, rather than the current state, may cause insulin dosing errors, worsening BG control, and hypoglycemia.⁵⁷ The glucose meter or blood gas/glucose analyzer therefore needs to be located in close proximity to the patient.

Preanalytical error is a major contributor to total measurement error.^{54,58–67} Hospitals should validate and standardize their methods for blood sample acquisition, handling, and glucose analysis to minimize preanalytical error.^{18,27,28,65–68} Finger stick capillary samples can be affected by glucose on the skin surface, lancet size, hand position, finger blood flow, temperature, coagulation, and excess interstitial fluid/edema.^{69–72} A standardized method for blood sample acquisition from a radial artery catheter produces reference BG measurements with the lowest standard deviation and few

outliers. Blood sampled from a stopcock or valve can be contaminated by infusion solutions. Central venous catheter blood samples can be contaminated or diluted from adjacent infusions.^{18,27,28,55,67,68,71} Safe insulin therapy requires total BG measurement error to be as low as possible (preanalytical error + analytical error + time delay error = total measurement error).

More than 117,000 original Accu-Check® Inform BG monitoring systems are currently being used to manage patients in 2750 hospitals around the world. The Accu-Chek Inform II BG monitoring system utilizes a new meter and test strip design that overcomes many of the limitations of the original Accu-Check Inform BG monitoring system.^{73,74}

The Accu-Check Inform II BG monitoring system evaluated by Mitsios and coauthors⁷⁵ exceeded the analytical accuracy and precision criteria, as defined by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute POCT12-A3 guideline,⁷⁶ when evaluated using split venous blood samples from 600 patients managed in multiple ICUs at two academic medical centers. A total of 98.8% of the Accu-Check Inform II meter's glucose values were within $\pm 12.5\%$ (± 12 mg/dl) of the mean laboratory analyzer's hexokinase glucose values, and 99.8% were within $\pm 20\%$ (± 20 mg/dl).⁷⁵

To achieve this degree of accuracy using ICU patient blood samples, the Accu-Check Inform II meter performed numerous quality checks with every test, enabling it to detect and compensate for common errors due to strip degradation and sample measurement conditions. The glucose meter used direct electric current to charge the working and reference electrodes, and alternating current impedance to confirm adequate sample volume and compensate for hematocrit.

Roche scientists developed a mutant variant of the quinoprotein glucose dehydrogenase enzyme to improve the assay's specificity for D-glucose, minimize error from interfering substances (maltose, galactose, triglycerides, ascorbic acid, and acetaminophen), and eliminate the errors from high and low oxygen concentrations. The glucose meter can use wireless communication to transfer BG measurement and time-stamp data directly into the hospital's electronic medical record. The Roche Diagnostics website nicely summarizes technical information and clinical trial data using the Accu-Check Inform II glucose monitoring system with a variety of blood sources (arterial, capillary, peripheral venous, or central venous), hospital environments (operating rooms, ICUs, and general wards), and patient populations.^{73,74}

In conclusion, the Accu-Chek Inform II BG monitoring system produced satisfactory analytical accuracy and precision using peripheral venous whole blood samples from critically ill patients. This study moves us one step closer to removing the Food and Drug Administration label: "The performance of this system has not been evaluated in the critically ill." Additional clinical trials are required using arterial, peripheral venous, central venous, and capillary blood samples to evaluate the clinical performance of the Accu-Chek Inform II system when used by nurses in the intended-use patient populations and critical care environments of the hospital.

Funding:

This work was supported by Thomas Jefferson University.

Disclosure:

Dr. Joseph currently serves, or has served, on the medical/scientific advisory boards of the following companies developing continuous glucose monitoring systems: Medtronic Diabetes Inc., Edwards Lifesciences Inc., DexCom Corporation, GluMetrics Inc., Echo Therapeutics, Flowision LLC, and Becton Dickinson, Inc. The following companies have funded research at the Jefferson Artificial Pancreas Center: Medtronic Diabetes Inc., Edwards Lifesciences Inc., DexCom Corporation, GluMetrics Inc., Echo Therapeutics, Animas-Johnson & Johnson, St. Jude Medical, Teleflex Inc., and Hospira Inc. All funds are paid to Thomas Jefferson University.

References:

1. Wexler DJ, Meigs JB, Cagliero E, Nathan DM, Grant RW. Prevalence of hyper- and hypoglycemia among inpatients with diabetes: a national survey of 44 U.S. hospitals. *Diabetes Care*. 2007;30(2):367–9.
2. Neubauer KM, Schaupp L, Plank J, Augustin T, Mautner SI, Tschapeller B, Pieber TR. Failure to control hyperglycemia in noncritically ill diabetes patients despite standard glycemic management in a hospital setting. *J Diabetes Sci Technol*. 2013;7(2):402–9.
3. Cryer PE. Hypoglycaemia: the limiting factor in the glycaemic management of the critically ill? *Diabetologia*. 2006;49(8):1722–5.
4. Krinsley JS. Association between hyperglycemia and increased hospital mortality in a heterogeneous population of critically ill patients. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 2003;78(12):1471–8.
5. Krinsley JS, Grover A. Severe hypoglycemia in critically ill patients: risk factors and outcomes. *Crit Care Med*. 2007;35(10):2262–7.
6. Krinsley JS. Glycemic variability: a strong independent predictor of mortality in critically ill patients. *Crit Care Med*. 2008;36(11):3008–13.
7. Krinsley JS, Meyfroidt G, van den Berghe G, Egi M, Bellomo R. The impact of premorbid diabetic status on the relationship between the three domains of glycemic control and mortality in critically ill patients. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care*. 2012;15(2):151–60.
8. Hermanides J, Vriesendorp TM, Bosman RJ, Zandstra DE, Hoekstra JB, Devries JH. Glucose variability is associated with intensive care unit mortality. *Crit Care Med*. 2010;38(3):838–42.
9. NICE-SUGAR Study Investigators, Finfer S, Chittock DR, Su SY, Blair D, Foster D, Dhingra V, Bellomo R, Cook D, Dodek P, Henderson WR, Hébert PC, Heritier S, Heyland DK, McArthur C, McDonald E, Mitchell I, Myburgh JA, Norton R, Potter J, Robinson BG, Ronco JJ. Intensive versus conventional glucose control in critically ill patients. *N Engl J Med*. 2009;360(13):1283–97.
10. NICE-SUGAR Study Investigators, Finfer S, Liu B, Chittock DR, Norton R, Myburgh JA, McArthur C, Mitchell I, Foster D, Dhingra V, Henderson WR, Ronco JJ, Bellomo R, Cook D, McDonald E, Dodek P, Hébert PC, Heyland DK, Robinson BG. Hypoglycemia and risk of death in critically ill patients. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;367(12):1108–18.
11. Kosiborod M, Inzucchi SE, Goyal A, Krumholz HM, Masoudi FA, Xiao L, Spertus JA. Relationship between spontaneous and iatrogenic hypoglycemia and mortality in patients hospitalized with acute myocardial infarction. *JAMA* 2009;301(15):1556–64.
12. Maynard GA, Huynh MP, Renvall M. Iatrogenic inpatient hypoglycemia: risk factors, treatment, and prevention. *Diabetes Spectr*. 2008;21(4):241–7.
13. Clement S, Braithwaite SS, Magee MF, Ahmann A, Smith EP, Schafer RG, Hirsch IB; American Diabetes Association Diabetes in Hospitals Writing Committee. Management of diabetes and hyperglycemia in hospitals. *Diabetes Care*. 2004;27(2):553–91.
14. Umpierrez GE, Smiley D, Zisman A, Prieto LM, Palacio A, Ceron M, Puig A, Mejia R. Randomized study of basal-bolus insulin therapy in the inpatient management of patients with type 2 diabetes (RABBIT 2 trial). *Diabetes Care*. 2007;30(9):2181–6.
15. Van den Berghe G, Wouters P, Weekers F, Verwaest C, Bruyninckx F, Schetz M, Vlasselaers D, Ferdinande P, Lauwers P, Bouillon R. Intensive insulin therapy in critically ill patients. *N Engl J Med*. 2001;345(19):1359–67.
16. Van den Berghe G, Wilmer A, Hermans G, Meersseman W, Wouters PJ, Milants I, Van Wijngaerden E, Bobbaers H, Bouillon R. Intensive insulin therapy in the medical ICU. *N Engl J Med*. 2006;354(5):449–61.
17. Inzucchi SE. Clinical practice. Management of hyperglycemia in the hospital setting. *N Engl J Med* 2006;355(18):1903–11.
18. Joseph JJ. Anesthesia and surgery in the diabetic patient. In: Goldstein BJ, Muller-Wieland D, eds. *Textbook of type 2 diabetes*. London: Dunitz; 2003.
19. Fahy BG, Sheehy AM, Coursin DB. Glucose control in the intensive care unit. *Crit Care Med*. 2009;37(5):1769–76.
20. Brazg RL, Klaff LJ, Parkin CG. Performance variability of seven commonly used self-monitoring of blood glucose systems: clinical considerations for patients and providers. *J Diabetes Sci Technol*. 2013;7(1):144–52.
21. Freckmann G, Schmid C, Baumstark A, Pleus S, Link M, Haug C. System accuracy evaluation of 43 blood glucose monitoring systems for self-monitoring of blood glucose according to DIN EN ISO 15197. *J Diabetes Sci Technol*. 2012;6(5):1060–75.
22. Viridi NS, Mahoney JJ. Importance of blood glucose meter and carbohydrate estimation accuracy. *J Diabetes Sci Technol*. 2012;6(4):921–6.
23. Inzucchi SE, Kosiborod M. Continuous glucose monitoring during critical care. *Anesthesiology*. 2011;114(1):18–9.
24. Ward K. Analysis: Continuous glucose monitoring in the intensive care unit. *J Diabetes Sci Technol*. 2012;6(6):1372–4.
25. Joseph JJ, Hipszer B, Mraovic B, Chervoneva I, Joseph M, Grunwald Z. Clinical need for continuous glucose monitoring in the hospital. *J Diabetes Sci Technol*. 2009;3(6):1309–18.
26. Holzinger U, Warszawska J, Kitzberger R, Wewalka M, Miehsler W, Herkner H, Madl C. Real-time continuous glucose monitoring in critically ill patients: a prospective randomized trial. *Diabetes Care*. 2010;33(3):467–72.
27. Van Herpe T, Mesotten D. Blood glucose measurements in critically ill patients. *J Diabetes Sci Technol*. 2012;6(1):22–8.
28. Jacobi J, Bircher N, Krinsley J, Agus M, Braithwaite SS, Deutschman C, Freire AX, Geehan D, Kohl B, Nasraway SA, Rigby M, Sands K, Schallom L, Taylor B, Umpierrez G, Mazuski J, Schunemann H. Guidelines for the use of an insulin infusion for the management of hyperglycemia in critically ill patients. *Crit Care Med*. 2012;40(12):3251–76.
29. Cook CB, Wellik KE, Kongable GL, Shu J. Assessing inpatient glycemic control: what are the next steps? *J Diabetes Sci Technol*. 2012;6(2):421–7.
30. Cook CB, Kongable GL, Potter DJ, Abad VJ, Leija DE, Anderson M. Inpatient glucose control: a glycemic survey of 126 U.S. hospitals. *J Hosp Med*. 2009;4(9):E7–14.
31. Anderson M, Zito D, Kongable G. Benchmarking glucose results through automation: the 2009 remote automated laboratory system report. *J Diabetes Sci Technol*. 2010;4(6):1507–13.

32. Swanson CM, Potter DJ, Kongable GL, Cook CB. Update on inpatient glycemic control in hospitals in the United States. *Endocr Pract.* 2011;17(6):853–61.
33. Malmberg K; DIGAMI (Diabetes Mellitus, Insulin Glucose Infusion in Acute Myocardial Infarction) Study Group. Prospective randomised study of intensive insulin treatment on long term survival after acute myocardial infarction in patients with diabetes mellitus. *BMJ.* 1997;314(7093):1512–5.
34. Capes SE, Hunt D, Malmberg K, Gerstein HC. Stress hyperglycaemia and increased risk of death after myocardial infarction in patients with and without diabetes: a systematic overview. *Lancet.* 2000;355(9206):773–8.
35. Furnary AP, Gao G, Grunkemeier GL, Wu Y, Zerr KJ, Bookin SO, Floten HS, Starr A. Continuous insulin infusion reduces mortality in patients with diabetes undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2003;125(5):1007–21.
36. Wiener RS, Wiener DC, Larson RJ. Benefits and risks of tight glucose control in critically ill adults: a meta-analysis. *JAMA.* 2008;300(8):933–44.
37. Gale SC, Sicoutris C, Reilly PM, Schwab CW, Gracias VH. Poor glycemic control is associated with increased mortality in critically ill trauma patients. *Am Surg.* 2007;73(5):454–60.
38. Mraovic B, Hipszer BR, Epstein RH, Pequignot EC, Parvizi J, Joseph JI. Preadmission hyperglycemia is an independent risk factor for in-hospital symptomatic pulmonary embolism after major orthopedic surgery. *J Arthroplasty.* 2010;25(1):64–70.
39. McCowen KC, Malhotra A, Bistrrian BR. Stress-induced hyperglycemia. *Crit Care Clin.* 2001;17(1):107–24.
40. Turina M, Fry DE, Polk HC Jr. Acute hyperglycemia and the innate immune system: Clinical, cellular, and molecular aspects. *Crit Care Med.* 2005;33(7):1624–33.
41. Esposito K, Nappo F, Marfella R, Giugliano G, Giugliano F, Ciotola M, Quagliaro L, Ceriello A, Giugliano D. Inflammatory cytokine concentrations are acutely increased by hyperglycemia in humans: role of oxidative stress. *Circulation.* 2002;106(16):2067–72.
42. Monnier L, Mas E, Ginet C, Michel F, Villon L, Cristol JP, Colette C. Activation of oxidative stress by acute glucose fluctuations compared with sustained chronic hyperglycemia in patients with type 2 diabetes. *JAMA.* 2006;295(14):1681–7.
43. Yu WK, Li WQ, Li N, Li JS. Influence of acute hyperglycemia in human sepsis on inflammatory cytokine and counterregulatory hormone concentrations. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2003;9(8):1824–7.
44. Krinsley JS, Schultz MJ, Spronk PE, Harmsen RE, van Braam Houckgeest F, van der Sluijs JP, Mélot C, Preiser JC. Mild hypoglycemia is independently associated with increased mortality in the critically ill. *Crit Care.* 2011;15(4):R173.
45. Kosiborod M, Inzucchi SE, Goyal A, Krumholz HM, Masoudi FA, Xiao L, Spertus JA. Relationship between spontaneous and iatrogenic hypoglycemia and mortality in patients hospitalized with acute myocardial infarction. *JAMA.* 2009;301(15):1556–64.
46. Egi M, Bellomo R, Stachowski E, French CJ, Hart GK, Taori G, Hegarty C, Bailey M. Hypoglycemia and outcome in critically ill patients. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2010;85(3):217–24.
47. Umpierrez GE, Hellman R, Korytkowski MT, Kosiborod M, Maynard GA, Montori VM, Seley JJ, Van den Berghe G; Endocrine Society. Management of hyperglycemia in hospitalized patients in non-critical care setting: an Endocrine Society Clinical practice guideline. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2012;97(1):16–38.
48. ACE/ADA Task Force on Inpatient Diabetes. American College of Endocrinology and American Diabetes Association Consensus statement on inpatient diabetes and glycemic control. *Diabetes Care.* 2006;29(8):1955–62.
49. Moghissi ES, Korytkowski MT, DiNardo M, Einhorn D, Hellman R, Hirsch IB, Inzucchi SE, Ismail-Beigi F, Kirkman MS, Umpierrez GE; American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists; American Diabetes Association. American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists and American Diabetes Association consensus statement on inpatient glycemic control. *Endocr Pract.* 2009;15(4):353–69.
50. Jacobi J, Bircher N, Krinsley J, Agus M, Braithwaite SS, Deutschman C, Freire AX, Geehan D, Kohl B, Nasraway SA, Rigby M, Sands K, Schallom L, Taylor B, Umpierrez G, Mazuski J, Schunemann H. Guidelines for the use of an insulin infusion for the management of hyperglycemia in critically ill patients. *Crit Care Med.* 2012;40(12):3251–76.
51. Ryan MT, Savarese VW, Hipszer B, Dizdarevic I, Joseph M, Shively N, Joseph JI. Continuous glucose monitor shows potential for early hypoglycemia detection in hospitalized patients. *Diabetes Technol Ther.* 2009;11(11):745–7.
52. Piot VM, Verrijcken A, Vanhoof M, Mertens I, Soetens F. Full neurological recovery after extreme hypoglycemia during intensive insulin therapy: a case report. *J Diabetes Sci Technol.* 2012;6(4):973–7.
53. Rebel A, Rice MA, Fahy BG. Accuracy of point-of-care glucose measurements. *J Diabetes Sci Technol.* 2012;6(2):396–411.
54. Sacks DB, Arnold M, Bakris GL, Bruns DE, Horvath AR, Kirkman MS, Lernmark A, Metzger BE, Nathan DM; National Academy of Clinical Biochemistry; Evidence-Based Laboratory Medicine Committee of the American Association for Clinical Chemistry. Guidelines and recommendations for laboratory analysis in the diagnosis and management of diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care.* 2011;34(6):e61–99.
55. Le HT, Harris NS, Estilong AJ, Olson A, Rice MJ. Blood glucose measurement in the intensive care unit: what is the best method? *J Diabetes Sci Technol.* 2013;7(2):489–99.
56. Ricós C, Alvarez V, Cava F, García-Lario JV, Hernández A, Jiménez CV, Minchinela J, Perich C, Simón M. Current databases on biological variation: pros, cons and progress. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest.* 1999;59(7):491–500.
57. Jangam SR, Hayter G, Dunn TC. Impact of glucose measurement processing delays on clinical accuracy and relevance. *J Diabetes Sci Technol.* 2013;7(3):660–8.
58. Finkielman JD, Oyen LJ, Afessa B. Agreement between bedside blood and plasma glucose measurement in the ICU setting. *Chest.* 2005;127(5):1749–51.
59. Nichols JH. What is accuracy and how close must the agreement be? *Diabetes Technol Ther.* 2005;7(3):558–62.

60. Nichols JH. Blood glucose testing in the hospital: error sources and risk management. *J Diabetes Sci Technol.* 2011;5(1):173–7.
61. Karon BS, Gandhi GY, Nuttall GA, Bryant SC, Schaff HV, McMahon MM, Santrach PJ. Accuracy of roche Accu-Chek inform whole blood capillary, arterial, and venous glucose values in patients receiving intensive intravenous insulin therapy after cardiac surgery. *Am J Clin Pathol.* 2007;127(6):919–26.
62. Karon BS, Griesmann L, Scott R, Bryant SC, Dubois JA, Shirey TL, Presti S, Santrach PJ. Evaluation of the impact of hematocrit and other interference on the accuracy of hospital-based glucose meters. *Diabetes Technol Ther.* 2008;10(2):111–20.
63. Desachy A, Vuagnat AC, Ghazali AD, Baudin OT, Longuet OH, Calvat SN, Gissot V. Accuracy of bedside glucometry in critically ill patients: influence of clinical characteristics and perfusion index. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2008;83(4):400–5.
64. Slater-MacLean L, Cembrowski G, Chin D, Shalapay C, Binette T, Hegadoren K, Newburn-Cook C. Accuracy of glycemic measurements in the critically ill. *Diabetes Technol Ther.* 2008;10(3):169–77.
65. Fahy BG, Coursin DB. Critical glucose control: the devil is in the details. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2008;83(4):394–7.
66. Nayak PP, Morris K, Lang H, Laker S, Stickley J, Davies P, Barrett T, Gao F, Gough S, Narendran P. Lack of agreement between arterial and central venous blood glucose measurement in critically ill children. *Intensive Care Med.* 2009;35(4):762–3.
67. Rice MJ, Pitkin AD, Coursin DB. Review article: glucose measurement in the operating room: more complicated than it seems. *Anesth Analg.* 2010;110(4):1056–65.
68. Van Herpe T, Mesotten D. Blood glucose measurements in critically ill patients. *J Diabetes Sci Technol.* 2012;6(1):22–8.
69. Atkin SH, Dasmahapatra A, Jaker MA, Chorost MI, Reddy S. Fingerstick glucose determination in shock. *Ann Intern Med.* 1991;114(12):1020–4.
70. Sylvain HF, Pokorny ME, English SM, Benson NH, Whitley TW, Ferenczy CJ, Harrison JG. Accuracy of fingerstick glucose values in shock patients. *Am J Crit Care.* 1995;4(1):44–8.
71. Dungan K, Chapman J, Braithwaite SS, Buse J. Glucose measurement: Confounding issues in setting targets for inpatient management. *Diabetes Care.* 2007;30(2):403–9.
72. Hoedemaekers CW, Klein Gunnewiek JM, Prinsen MA, Willems JL, Van der Hoeven JG. Accuracy of bedside glucose measurement from three glucometers in critically ill patients. *Crit Care Med.* 2008;36(11):3062–6.
73. Roche. ACCU-CHEK® Inform II blood glucose monitoring system. Quick reference Guide for healthcare professionals. http://www.accu-chekinformatii.com/pdf/05234654001_ACI2_ORG_forWEB.pdf.
74. Roche. ACCU-CHEK® Inform II test strips evaluation report. <http://www.accu-chekinformatii.com/pdf/IMG-UPE-1012.pdf>.
75. Mitsios JV, Ashby LA, Haverstick DM, Bruns DE, Scott MG. Analytic evaluation of a new glucose meter system in 15 different critical care settings. *J Diabetes Sci Technol.* 2013;7(5):1282–7.
76. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Point-of-care blood glucose testing in acute and chronic care facilities; approved guideline—third edition. CLSI guideline POCT12-A3. Wayne: Clinical Laboratory Standards; 2013.